IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SEAN GUILDAY,	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	
v.	
CRISIS CENTER AT CROZER-	NO. 21-2010
CHESTER MEDICAL CENTER et al.,	
Defendants.	

<u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this 1st day of March, 2022, upon consideration of the Crozer-Chester Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECFs No. 24 & 58) and Plaintiff's Response in Opposition thereto (ECF No. 57); and of the County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 25), and Plaintiff's Response in Opposition thereto (ECF No. 45), it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

- The Crozer-Chester Defendants' Motion is GRANTED with respect to
 Plaintiff's federal law claims against the Crozer-Chester Defendants which claims are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE;
- The County Defendants' Motion is GRANTED with respect to Plaintiff's federal law claims against the County Defendants which claims are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE;

DV THE COURT

3. Plaintiff's state law claims (Counts II, III, IV, V, VI, and VIII) are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

BY THE COURT:	
/s/Wendy Beetlestone, J.	
WENDY DEETLESTONE I	